

How Wars Ended

On December 25, 1991, the hammer and sickle was lowered for the last time over the Kremlin, signalling the end of the Cold War. After four decades of proxy wars, wars of liberation, and the ever-present threat of nuclear Armageddon, many believed that the demise of one of the world's superpowers signalled the "end of history" and would usher in an era of peace, prosperity, and political consensus. The reality, however, was quite the opposite. As the great clash of twentieth century ideologies receded, conflicts which had lain dormant for a generation erupted again, new struggles emerged, and many states left their populations mired in violence and instability as they collapsed. This study will provide a comprehensive overview of how every war has ended in the years that followed, beginning in 1992 and finishing in 2017.

Methodology

A "war" shall be defined as a political conflict which has cost the lives of more than 1,000 people. When a war has "ended" will be understood as when politically motivated violence has ended for over a year (Rwanda has two entries as the nature of each conflict was markedly different), and the cessation in hostilities has been formalised through a peace agreement, political developments, or the victory of one side of the conflict. These criteria have led to the omission of some wars which many may argue have ended in the given timeframe. Since 1992, for example, it could be argued that three wars have ended in Israel-Palestine (the Second Intifada, the 2008 – 2009 conflict in Gaza, and the 2014 conflict in Gaza), however as violence has continued between these events and the conflict remains unresolved, these wars are not considered to have ended. Other examples include: The War in Afghanistan, the War in Iraq, the Second Chechen War, and the War in Libya, and the Kashmir Conflict.

This study has identified 33 wars which have ended between 1992 – 2017. These have been categorised into five types of conflict, largely inspired by [Uppsala Conflict Data Program](#). They are:

1. Interstate – A conflict between two or more governments.
2. Intrastate – A conflict between a government and a non-governmental party; with no interference from other countries.
3. Intrastate with foreign involvement - An armed conflict between a government and a non-government party where the government side, the opposing side, or both sides, receive troop support from other governments that actively participate in the conflict.
4. Extrastate – A conflict between a government and a non-government party which can take place outside the boundaries of the state.

5. Non-state – A conflict between two non-government parties.

Every war that has ended in the given timeframe has been placed into one or more of the following categories:

1. A peace agreement has been negotiated through the efforts of external governmental bodies.
2. A peace agreement has been negotiated through the efforts of external non-governmental bodies.
3. A peace agreement has been negotiated by the belligerents.
4. Domestic reforms of some kind have led to the cessation of hostilities.
5. International intervention has ended the conflict.
6. The victory of one side.

Each of the 33 wars has been given a value of one. When the reason for the ending of the war is the result of one of the above categories, that category receives a value of one. When two of the categories are considered to have significantly contributed to the ending of the war, each receives a value of 0.5. When aggregated together, the data provides an overview of how wars ended between 1992 and 2017.

Further consideration has been given to whether any of the belligerent parties benefitted from the conflict. This has been divided into two categories: Political benefits are considered to be when one or more of the belligerents has achieved its objectives or made political gains (often in the form of seizing power or ending an insurgency); Socio-Economic benefits are considered to be when the population as a whole has benefitted from the war (usually through material gains or emancipation).

The results of this study are presented concisely in a table below. More detailed analysis, brief descriptions of the ending of each war, and supporting evidence for the categorisations is then offered for every war.

Results

Conflict.	Type.	1	2	3	4	5	6	Pol.Ben?	S/E.Ben?
Mozambique 1976 - 1992.	3		1					N	N
Rwanda 1990 - 1993.	3	0.5	0.5					Y	N
Georgia - Abkhazia 1992 - 1993.	3						1	Y	N
Bosnia and Herzegovina (Muslim-Croat) 1992 - 1994.	3	1						Y	N
Rwanda 1994.	2						1	N	N
Israel - Jordan 1967 - 1994.	1			1				N	N

Djibouti 1991 - 1994.	2			1				Y	Y	
Croatia 1991 - 1995.	3			0.5			0.5	Y	N	
Bosnia and Herzegovina 1992 - 1995.	1	1						N	N	
Afghanistan 1992 - 1996.	3						1	Y	N	
Guatemala 1960 - 1996.	3			1				N	N	
Moldova - Transnistria 1992 - 1997.	3	1						Y	N	
Russia - Chechnya 1994 - 1996.	3			0.5			0.5	Y	N	
Democratic Republic of Congo (Zaire) 1996 - 1997.	1						1	Y	N	
Tajikistan 1992 - 1997.	3		1					N	N	
Albania 1997.	2				0.5	0.5		Y	N	
Bangladesh - Chittagong Hill Tracts 1977 - 1997.	3			1				Y	Y	
Papua New Guinea - Bougainville 1988 - 1998.	3			1				Y	Y	
Iraq - Kurds 1994 - 1998.	5	1						N	N	
Yugoslavia - Kosovo 1998 - 1999.	3						0.5	0.5	Y	N
Republic of Congo 1997 - 2000.	3		0.5				0.5	Y	N	
Eritrea - Ethiopia 1998 - 2000.	1		0.5				0.5	Y	N	
Sierra Leone 1991 - 2002.	3						0.5	0.5	Y	N
Algeria 1991 - 2002.	3						1	Y	N	
Democratic Republic of Congo 1998 - 2003.	3	0.5			0.5			N	N	
Liberia 1989 - 2003.	3	0.5			0.5			Y	N	
Sudan 1983 - 2005.	3		0.5				0.5	Y	N	
Lebanon 2006.	4		1					N	N	
Burundi 1993 - 2006.	3				1			Y	N	
Nepal 1996 - 2006.	2			0.5	0.5			N	N	
Sri Lanka 1983 - 2009.	2						1	Y	N	
Chad 2005 - 2010.	3			0.5			0.5	Y	N	
Ivory Coast 2002 - 2011.	3						0.5	0.5	Y	N
Total: 33		5.5	5	7	3	2	10.5	23 Y	3 Y	

Mozambique 1976 - 1992. Intrastate conflict with foreign involvement.

Ended by a [UN brokered peace agreement on 04/10/1992](#). (Organised by external non-governmental bodies).(1)

No side benefitted from the conflict: After the war, the previous government remained power.

Notes: The Mozambican Civil War was ended by the General Peace Accords, which were signed in Rome after 27 months of negotiations on October 4, 1992. The warring parties were brought to the

negotiating table after losing external backing and reaching a stalemate on the battlefield. They were encouraged to come to terms by efforts made by the Italian government and private individuals. More [here](#).

Rwanda 1990 - 1993. Intrastate conflict with foreign involvement.

Ended by an [US, France, and Organisation of African Unity brokered Peace Agreement 04/08/1993](#)

(Organised by external governmental and non-governmental bodies).(0.5:0.5)

One side (the Rwandan Patriotic Front) benefitted from the conflict: They rose from exile in Uganda to holding a prominent role in the government and military of Rwanda.

Notes: The Arusha Accords were organized by the United States, France, and the Organisation of African Unity and held in Tanzania. The accords ended the conflict, established a power sharing arrangement between the warring parties and promised multi-party elections. More [here](#) (Introduction).

Georgia - Abkhazia 1992 – 1993. Intrastate conflict with foreign involvement.

Ended by the [victory of one side November 1993](#).(1)

One side (the Abkhazians) benefitted from the conflict: Abkhazia gained *de facto* independence from Georgia and formed closer ties with Russia.

Notes: The Georgia – Abkhazia War was not resolved in 1993, and it would remain a “frozen conflict” until 2008, when it re-ignited. The fighting stopped in 1993 when Abkhazian forces, who were supported by Russia, captured the port of Poti, cutting Tbilisi off. The Georgian government, fearing the complete dismemberment of Georgia, then offered concessions to Russia to halt the conflict. More [here](#).

Bosnia-Herzegovina (Muslim-Croat) 1992 - 1994. Intrastate conflict with foreign involvement.

Ended by a [US brokered peace agreement 18/03/1994](#). (Organised by external governmental bodies).(1)

No side benefitted from the conflict: The conflict cost both sides significant resources whilst they were both fighting the Army of Republika Srpska. Following the agreement, they became allies.

Notes: The Muslim-Croat conflict of the larger 1992-1995 War in Bosnia and Herzegovina was ended when both sides agreed to the Washington Agreement, and joined forces to fight Bosnian Serb forces. More [here](#).

Rwanda 1994. Intrastate conflict

Ended by the [victory of one side in July 1994](#).(1)

No side benefitted from the conflict: Up to one million people are thought to have been killed, whilst many of the perpetrators fled afterwards.

Notes: The genocide in Rwanda was ended when the forces of the Rwandan Patriotic Front took the capital, Kigali, in July 1994. Many of the perpetrators fled to the Democratic Republic of Congo, although 33 were indicted by the International Criminal Tribunal for Rwanda. More [here](#) and [here](#).

Israel – Jordan 1967 – 1994. Interstate conflict.

Ended by a ceasefire in 1967 and a [peace agreement made by belligerents 26/10/1994](#).(1)

No side benefitted from the conflict: Neither state gained from the conflict.

Notes: A formal peace treaty was signed on the border between the two countries after 37 years of war. President Bill Clinton was a witness to the signing, and supposedly encouraged King Hussein of Jordan to sign by offering to forgive the country's debts. More [here](#).

Djibouti 1991 - 1994. Intrastate conflict.

Ended by a [peace agreement made by belligerents 26/12/1994](#).(1)

One side benefitted: Afar rebels ended the exclusionary policies of President Gouled, some were incorporated into the government, and a new constitution was introduced.

Notes: Political and economic pressure pushed President Gouled (who had ruled Djibouti since its independence from France in 1977) to negotiate with the rebels, who opposed his treatment of the Afars. More [here](#).

Croatia 1991 – 1995. Intrastate conflict with foreign involvement.

Ended by victory of one side and a [peace agreement made by belligerents 12/11/1995](#).(0.5:0.5)

One side benefitted from the conflict: Croatia succeeded in attaining independence from Yugoslavia and Zagreb gained full control of Croatian territory.

Notes: Following a series of decisive military victories by the Croatian Army, Croatian Serb forces were pushed into Bosnia and Herzegovina along with 200,000 civilians. Those that remained in Croatia signed the Erdut Agreement, ending hostilities. More [here](#).

Bosnia-Herzegovina 1992 – 1995. Interstate conflict (some would argue intrastate).

Ended by a [US brokered Peace Agreement 14/12/1995](#). (Organised external by external governmental bodies).(1)

Inconclusive: Bosnia and Herzegovina successfully seceded from Yugoslavia, however President Izetbegovic's aim of establishing a multi-ethnic state was mired by the ethnic divisions which emerged during the war. The Bosnian Serb leadership's goal of establishing a Serb state failed, although an autonomous, predominantly Serb, entity was established. Bosnian Croats attained some autonomy.

Notes: Following numerous attempts to implement a peace treaty by the international community, US diplomat Richard Holbrooke and Swedish prime minister Carl Bildt brought the warring parties to

negotiations in Dayton, Ohio, in 1995. It should be noted that at this point, the war had dramatically turned against the Bosnian Serbs, who were arguably weeks away from defeat. More [here](#) and [here](#).

Afghanistan 1992 – 1996. Intrastate conflict with foreign involvement.

Ended with the [victory of one side 27/09/1996](#).(1)

One side (the Taliban) benefitted from the conflict: The Taliban, supported by Pakistan, emerged as the strongest of the disparate mujahidin groups and took over the country.

Notes: Following the resignation of Najibullah Ahmedzai, the soviet backed leader of Afghanistan in 1992, the country descended into war. The Taliban promised order, received the backing of Pakistan, and developed into a cohesive force which then succeeded in conquering the country. More [here](#).

Guatemala 1960 – 1996. Intrastate conflict with foreign involvement.

Ended by a ceasefire in 1995 and a [peace agreement made by belligerents 29/12/1996](#).(1)

No side benefitted from the conflict: Those who remained of the military leadership (believed to be responsible for 93% of atrocities) were removed from their positions in 1996. The leftist URNG was re-integrated into society and transformed into a political party, although its share of the vote has been minimal.

Notes: The Guatemalan Civil War began in 1960, and was fought between left-wing guerrillas and government forces. Peace talks began between the warring parties in 1994 with President Ramiro De Leon Carpio, and were finalised in 1996 by his successor Alvaro Arzu. Arzu and the leader of the URNG, Rolando Moran, were awarded the UNESCO Peace Prize for their efforts, whilst human rights activist Rigoberta Menchu, who was present at the signing of the accord and is deemed pivotal to peace process, was awarded the Nobel Peace Prize in 1992. More [here](#) and [here](#).

Moldova – Transnistria 1992 – 1997. Intrastate conflict with foreign involvement.

Ended by a ceasefire in 1992 and a [Russian brokered Memorandum in 08/05/1997](#). (Organised by external governmental bodies).(1)

One side (Transnistria) benefitted from the conflict: It became a *de facto* independent republic, although most states do not recognise it.

Notes: After a few months of tacit Russian support for the Transnistrians, in July Russian forces engaged Moldovan forces, essentially ending the conflict. In 1997 the Moldovan and Transnistrian Presidents were brought to Moscow to sign the Moscow Memorandum, in an agreement mediated by Russia, Ukraine, and the OSCE. More [here](#).

Russia - Chechnya 1994 – 1996. Intrastate conflict.

Ended by victory of one side and a [peace agreement made by the belligerents 12/05/1997](#).(0.5:0.5)

One side (Chechnya) benefitted the conflict: The Chechen Republic of Ichkeria became a *de facto*

independent state.

Notes: Fighting in Chechnya began in 1994 and culminated in the Battle of Grozny, in which large numbers of Russian soldiers were killed. Following the disaster, President Yeltsin declared a ceasefire, the 1996 Khasav-Yurt Accord, and the warring parties negotiated a formal peace treaty in 1997. More [here](#) and [here](#).

Democratic Republic of Congo (Zaire) 1996 - 1997. Interstate Conflict.

Ended by the [victory of one side 16/05/1997](#).(1)

One side benefitted from the conflict: The Alliance of Democratic Forces for the Liberation of Congo, supported by Uganda, Rwanda, Burundi, and Angola, seized power.

Notes: Following a multi-national campaign against the authoritarian rule of Mobutu Sese Seko, whose regime had been sponsored by France and the US during the Cold War, Laurent-Désiré Kabila was installed. Much of the efforts made were by the Rwandan Tutsi army led by then Vice-President Paul Kagame, who was motivated to invade by the presence of members of the former Hutu regime encamped on the Rwandan border. More [here](#) and [here](#).

Tajikistan 1992 - 1997. Intrastate conflict with foreign involvement.

Ended by a [UN brokered peace agreement 02/07/1997](#). (Organised by external non-governmental bodies).(1)

Inconclusive: The opposition forces of the United Tajik Opposition were allocated 30% of ministerial positions as part of the peace treaty, however President Emomalii Rahmon has continued to strengthen his grip on the country since the war ended.

Notes: The Tajikistan Civil War was fought between the “neo-soviet” government and a range of rebel groups, most of whom were Islamic militants, although democratic and nationalist elements were present. Significant numbers of Russian troops fought alongside the Tajik government. UN observers entered the country in 1994, and in 1997 the leaders of the belligerent groups met in Moscow to negotiate peace. More [here](#) and [here](#).

Albania 1997. Intrastate conflict.

Ended by international intervention and the [removal of the incumbent president in an election](#). (Domestic reforms).(0.5:0.5)

One side benefitted from the conflict: The government was replaced by the opposition.

Notes: Economic and financial unrest led to the government losing control of swathes of the country. Intervention by the international community provided some stability, and elections were held in which the government was replaced. More [here](#).

Bangladesh – Chittagong Hill Tracts 1977 – 1997. Intrastate conflict with foreign involvement.

Ended by a ceasefire in 1992 and a [peace agreement made by the belligerents 02/12/1997](#).(1)

One side benefitted from the conflict: The Parbatya Chattagram Jana Samhati Samiti achieved their aim of establishing autonomy within Bangladesh and returning displaced people to their homes.

Notes: The 20-year struggle began when 100,000 people were displaced from their homes by the construction of the Kaptai Dam without compensation. Following the restoration of democracy in Bangladesh in 1991 and the election of Sheikh Hasina Wajed in 1996 peace talks began, culminating in the recognition of the special status of the residents of the Chittagong Hill Tracts. More [here](#).

Papua New Guinea – Bougainville 1988 - 1998. Intrastate conflict.

Ended by a ceasefire and a [peace agreement made by the belligerents 20/08/2001](#).(1)

One side benefitted from the conflict: The Bougainvilleans achieved their aim of establishing an autonomous Bougainville Government.

Notes: After a decade of conflict the forces of Papua New Guinea were unable to defeat the Bougainville Revolutionary Army, and the election of Bill Skate as Prime Minister of Papua New Guinea, who had opposed a military solution, led to peace talks, which were held in New Zealand. More [here](#) and [here](#).

Iraq – Kurds 1994 – 1998. Conflict between two non-state actors.

Ended by an [US brokered Peace Agreement 17/09/1998](#). (Organised by external governmental bodies).(1)

No side benefitted from the conflict: At the end of the conflict, the two sides agreed to a power-sharing agreement, as the case had been prior to the conflict.

Notes: Beginning with a land dispute, two rival factions of Iraqi Kurds fought for four years. Iraq, Turkey, Iran, and to an extent the US became involved in the conflict. The promise of revenue sharing between the two sides and US protection from Iraqi forces brought the warring parties to the negotiating table in Washington, where they came to terms. The agreement they signed established two Kurdish regional governments. More [here](#) and [here](#).

Yugoslavia – Kosovo 1998 - 1999. Intrastate conflict with foreign involvement.

Ended by international intervention and [victory for one side 11/06/1999](#).(0.5:0.5)

One side benefitted from the conflict: Kosovo achieved *de facto* independence from the Federal Republic of Yugoslavia, however it remains unrecognised by many states.

Notes: Following an insurgency mounted by the Kosovo Liberation Army and counter-operations by Yugoslav forces, NATO began a bombing campaign against Yugoslavia until Yugoslav authorities agreed to withdraw their forces from Kosovo. More [here](#) and [here](#).

Republic of Congo 1997 - 2000. Intrastate conflict with foreign involvement.

Ended by victory for one side and a [Southern African Development Community, Organization of African Unity, and UN brokered ceasefire 10/01/2000](#). (Organised by external non-governmental bodies).(0.5:0.5)

One side benefitted from the conflict: The ceasefire led to the disarmament of militias opposed to the rule of President Denis Sassou-Nguesso.

Notes: A war between militias loyal to a number of leaders was tipped in favour of former President Sassou-Nguesso with the intervention of Angolan forces. After a series of victories, opposition militias negotiated peace and began re-integration. More [here](#) and [here](#).

Eritrea – Ethiopia 1998 - 2000. Interstate conflict.

Ended by victory for one side and a [UN, Organisation for African Unity, EU, and Algerian brokered peace agreement 12/12/2000](#). (Organised – predominantly - by external non-governmental bodies).(0.5:0.5)

One side benefitted from the conflict: Ethiopia eventually occupied approximately 25% of Eritrea, and following the Algiers Agreement, retained control of the disputed territories.

Notes: The war was the result of disputed territory along the border between the two countries. Following Ethiopia's victory on the battlefield, peace terms were agreed in Algiers, Algeria, and Ethiopia retained control of disputed territory such as Badme. More [here](#), [here](#) and [here](#).

Sierra Leone 1991 – 2002. Intrastate conflict with foreign involvement.

Ended by international intervention and [victory for one side 18/01/2002](#).(0.5:0.5)

One side benefitted from the conflict: The rebels of the Revolutionary United Front were defeated and President Ahmad Tejan Kabbah won the following election.

Notes: Following years of conflict, British and Guinian forces intervened in support of the government of Sierra Leone. 45,000 rebels are disarmed, and President Kabbah declared an end to the war. More [here](#) and [here](#).

Algeria 1991 – 2002. Intrastate conflict with foreign involvement.

Ended by [victory for one side 08/02/2002](#).(1)

One side benefitted from the conflict: The Algerian government consolidated its control over the country after reconciling with many opposition groups and defeating the Armed Islamic Group of Algeria.

Notes: The government of Algeria and the Islamic Salvation Army came to terms in 1998 and an amnesty was progressively introduced for its members to "repent." In the following years the Armed

Islamic Group of Algeria was defeated by government forces, who killed its leader Antar Zouabri in 2002, effectively ending the conflict. More [here](#).

Democratic Republic of Congo 1998 - 2003. Intrastate conflict with foreign involvement.

Ended by a series of [peace agreements largely brokered by South Africa](#), and the [formation of a transitional government 18/07/2003](#). (Organised by external governmental bodies: Domestic Reforms).(0.5:0.5)

Inconclusive: The peace agreements established a unified and inclusive government in Congo, and theoretically ended external support for militias. However, the government remains fragile and is yet to consolidate its power over all of the country.

Notes: The Sun City Agreement, signed in South Africa, provided the framework for a unified government and elections to be held. The following Pretoria Accord led to the withdrawal of Rwandan troops, whilst the Luanda Agreement led to the withdrawal of Ugandan troops. The Global and All-Inclusive Agreement marked the formal end of the conflict. More [here](#) and [here](#).

Liberia 1989 – 2003. Intrastate conflict with foreign involvement.

Ended by international intervention and a [Nigerian brokered peace agreement 18/08/2003](#). (Organised by external governmental bodies).(0.5:0.5)

One side benefitted from the conflict: President Charles Taylor was forced to resign, and fled to Nigeria.

Notes: A complicated three-way conflict between Liberia, Sierra Leone, and the Guinea Republic resulted in the latter states supporting the Liberians United for Reconciliation and Democracy rebel group, who managed to place the capital, Monrovia, under siege. Nigerian and American peacekeepers then entered the country, Taylor resigned, and the Accra Comprehensive Peace Agreement was negotiated, ending the war. More [here](#), [here](#) and [here](#).

Sudan 1983 – 2005. Intrastate conflict with foreign involvement.

Ended by victory for one side and a [peace agreement brokered by the Intergovernmental Authority on Development 09/01/2005](#). (Organised by external non-governmental bodies).(0.5:0.5)

One side benefitted from the conflict: The south of Sudan gained autonomy for six years as part of the peace agreement, after which a referendum on independence was held.

Notes: After more than a decade of conflict government forces and the predominantly southern rebels remained at a stalemate. Beginning in 2002, a series of agreements were signed in Kenya, culminating in the Naivasha Agreement in 2005. The agreement promised autonomy for the south for six years, followed by a referendum on independence. 98.83% voted for independence. More [here](#), [here](#) and [here](#).

Lebanon 2006. Extrastate conflict between a state and a non-state actor.

Ended by a [UN brokered ceasefire 14/08/2006](#). (Organised by external non-governmental bodies).(1)

Inconclusive: Both sides claimed victory in the conflict, with Israel noting its destruction of weapons and the “state within a state” in southern Lebanon, whilst Sheik Hassan Nasrallah declared a strategic victory.

Notes: The brief, 34-day conflict was ended when the United Nations Security Council unanimously approved UN Security Council Resolution 1701, which was accepted by both sides of the conflict.

More [here](#), [here](#) and [here](#).

Burundi 1993 – 2006. Intrastate conflict with foreign involvement.

Ended by defence and constitutional reforms based on the Arusha Accords (made in 2000), implemented through [elections in 2005 and the restoration of security 16/08/2006](#). (Domestic Reforms).(1)

One side benefitted from the conflict: The Forces for the Defence of Democracy, who had been opposing the government in the civil war, won the elections in 2005 and its secretary-general, Pierre Nkurunziza, became President.

Notes: Beginning in 2000, a series of attempts to end hostilities, including efforts by Nelson Mandela, failed to establish peace. They did, however, provide the foundation upon which a more lasting peace was established following significant defence and constitutional reforms made in 2005. The last rebel group, the Forces of National Liberation, would continue a low-level insurgency until 2009, when they laid down their arms. More [here](#) and [here](#).

Nepal 1996 – 2006. Intrastate conflict.

Ended by constitutional reform (Domestic Reforms) and a [peace agreement made by the belligerents 21/11/2006](#).(0.5:0.5)

Inconclusive: The King lost his political rights and property, whilst the Maoists lost their parallel administration. An alliance of political parties, including the Maoists (84 of 329), governs the country until elections are held, in which the Maoists fare reasonably well but do not win – failing to install a communist republic.

Notes: After a decade of conflict, large pro-democracy protests and international pressure forced King Gyanendra to reinstate parliament. The new parliament curbed the king’s powers, and began peace talks with the Maoist rebels. The government and rebels forge a peace agreement based on power sharing and a return to democracy. More [here](#), [here](#) and [here](#).

Sri Lanka 1983 – 2009. Intrastate conflict.

Ended by [victory for one side 18/05/2009](#).(1)

One side benefitted from the conflict: The Sri Lankan government defeated the Liberation Tigers of Tamil Eelam and established control over the entire country.

Notes: After numerous attempts to negotiate peace terms, including an almost successful attempt mediated by Norway in 2002, fighting continued until a large government offensive beginning in 2008 defeats the LTTE, the leadership of which are killed. In 2016 the government declares its aim to demilitarise Sri Lanka. More [here](#), [here](#) and [here](#).

Chad 2005 – 2010. Intrastate conflict with foreign involvement.

Ended by the victory of one side and a [peace agreement made by the belligerents 15/01/2010](#).(0.5:0.5)

One side benefitted from the conflict: The Chadian government defeated numerous rebel groups, and by coming to an agreement with Sudan, ended their external sponsorship. Control over the whole country was then established.

Notes: After defeating numerous rebel groups, many of whom had received support from Sudan, with French assistance, President Idriss Déby of Chad and President Omar al-Bashir came to a bilateral agreement to end support for rebel groups and work together to establish security along the border. More [here](#) and [here](#).

Ivory Coast 2002 – 2011. Intrastate conflict with foreign involvement.

Ended by international intervention and the [victory of one side 11/04/2011](#).(0.5:0.5)

One side benefitted from the conflict: The New Forces, with French and UN support, ousted Laurent Gbagbo (who was sent to the Hague) and Alassane Ouattara became President.

Notes: Civil erupted in 2002, and despite numerous declarations and peace agreements, fighting continued until 2011. It should be noted that the scale of the fighting reduced between 2007 and 2010, leading some to argue the war had ended, but the violence continued in this period. More [here](#) and [here](#).

Summary

The results of this study offer a range of interesting insights. Of the 33 wars that ended between 1992 – 2017, 22 were intrastate conflicts with foreign involvement, 5 were intrastate conflicts, 4 were interstate conflicts, 1 was an extrastate conflict, and 1 was a war between non-state actors. Two figures are of particular note from these results: the relatively low number (around 10% of the total) of interstate wars and the prevalence of intrastate conflict, both with and without foreign involvement, which constitutes over 80% of the total.

10.5 of the 33 wars ended as a result of one side triumphing over the other(s), 7 were ended by the belligerents negotiating a peace treaty between themselves, 5.5 ended due to external governmental bodies brokering a peace treaty between the warring parties, 5 were brought to an end by external non-governmental bodies such as the UN, 3 ended following domestic reforms, and 2 ended as a result of international intervention. Of note here is that 20.5 (more than half) of the

wars in study, were ended without the significant involvement of external bodies – that is, they were ended by one side winning the war, a government making concessions to opposition groups, or the belligerents agreeing to cease hostilities of their own accord. Only 2 wars of 33 were ended by military intervention by the international community.

23 wars offered some form of political benefit to one of the sides when it ended. In many cases, this was either the government of a state defeating rebels and consolidating its control, or vice versa, and rebels defeating the government and seizing control for themselves. Only 3, however, offered any tangible benefit to the population. In all three cases, the population was freed from a particularly oppressive government, and in two of the cases, a minority group managed to establish greater autonomy from the central government and have their rights recognised.

Acknowledgements

A wide range of academic and journalistic research has been utilised to complete this study. All have been cited with links to their pages. In addition, a number of resources proved invaluable to this study:

The University of Uppsala's [Uppsala Conflict Data Program](#).

[United Nations Peacemaker](#).

The University of Notre Dame's [Peace Accord Matrix](#).

The [United States Institute of Peace](#).

About the author

At the time of publication, Elliot Short was in the final stages of completing a PhD at the University of East Anglia, in Norwich, UK. His research is focussed on the relationship between the state, identity, and the military, and his thesis is (currently) titled "The State, Identity, and the Military: Building a Multi-Ethnic Army in post-Dayton Bosnia and Herzegovina."

He is available at elliotshort@gmail.com for discussion regarding private research projects and partnerships, academic collaboration, and consultancy.

The author would like to thank Milt Lauenstein for sponsoring this research.